





Technology: a human being's ally or a silent enemy

Tecnología: aliada del ser humano o un enemigo silencioso

- ¹ Cesar Augusto Narváez Vilema  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4498-8316>
Docente de la carrera de Pedagogía de los Idiomas Nacionales y Extranjeros, Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo (UNACH), Riobamba, Ecuador.
cesar.narvaez@unach.edu.ec
- ² Elsa Yoconda Narváez Vilema  <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5321-7908>
Docente de la Unidad educativa PCEI Chimborazo, Riobamba, Ecuador.
yoconda.narvaez@educacion.gob.ec
- ³ Cristhian Mauricio Yagos Vilema  <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-3145-7503>
Docente de Bachillerato Técnico, Unidad Educativa Guayaquil, Ambato, Ecuador.
cristhian.yagos@educacion.gob.ec
- ⁴ Miryan Estela Narváez Vilema  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7734-419X>
Docente de la carrera de Ingeniería en Tecnologías de la Información, Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo (UNACH), Riobamba, Ecuador.
miryan.narvaez@unach.edu.ec



Artículo de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica

Enviado: 15/04/2024

Revisado: 10/05/2024

Aceptado: 03/06/2024

Publicado: 10/07/2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33262/cienciadigital.v8i3.3068>

Cítese:

Narváez Vilema, C. A., Narváez Vilema, E. Y., Yagos Vilema, C. M., & Narváez Vilema, M. E. (2024). Tecnología: aliada del ser humano o un enemigo silencioso. *Ciencia Digital*, 8(3), 30-46. <https://doi.org/10.33262/cienciadigital.v8i3.3068>



CIENCIA DIGITAL, es una revista multidisciplinaria, trimestral, que se publicará en soporte electrónico tiene como misión contribuir a la formación de profesionales competentes con visión humanística y crítica que sean capaces de exponer sus resultados investigativos y científicos en la misma medida que se promueva mediante su intervención cambios positivos en la sociedad. <https://cienciadigital.org>
La revista es editada por la Editorial Ciencia Digital (Editorial de prestigio registrada en la Cámara Ecuatoriana de Libro con No de Afiliación 663) www.celibro.org.ec



Esta revista está protegida bajo una licencia Creative Commons Atribución-NoComercial-CompartirIgual 4.0 Internacional. Copia de la licencia: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/deed.es>

Palabras claves:

Lenguas extranjeras, tecnología educativa, riesgos ocultos de la tecnología, conciencia de profesores y estudiantes.

Keywords:

Foreign languages, educational technology, technology hidden risks, teachers, and students' consciousness.

Resumen

Introducción: Los beneficios que se derivan del uso de la tecnología en la educación, particularmente en el campo de la enseñanza y el aprendizaje de lenguas extranjeras, son innumerables. Sin embargo, investigaciones críticas han demostrado que el privilegio asignado a la tecnología esconde consecuencias de las que la mayoría de estudiantes y profesores no son conscientes. **Objetivo:** En este sentido, este estudio pretende dilucidar hasta qué punto los profesores de inglés son conscientes de las amenazas ocultas en la implementación de tecnologías en sus aulas. **Metodología:** Para ello, el estudio transita bajo el paradigma interpretativo y enfoque mixto de la investigación científica. Para el proceso de recolección de datos se aplicó una encuesta y una entrevista semiestructurada a la población. **Resultados:** Los principales resultados evidenciaron una posición ingenua de los participantes que ignoran que el uso acrático de la Tecnología evoca riesgos no sólo académicos sino también éticos, laborales y sociales. **Conclusión:** Estas circunstancias exigen que los docentes adopten una posición crítica respecto de qué, cuándo y cómo utilizar las tecnologías en clase. **Área de estudio general:** Educación. **Área de estudio específica:** Tecnología educativa.

Abstract

Introduction: The benefits derived after the usage of technology in education, particularly in the field of foreign language teaching and learning, are countless. However, critical research has evidenced that the privilege assigned to technology hides consequences that most students and teachers are not conscious of. **Objective:** In this regard, this study aims to elucidate to what extent English teachers are cognizant of the threats hidden in the implementation of technologies in their classrooms. **Methodology:** For this, the study transits under the interpretative paradigm and mixed approach of scientific research. For the data collection process, a survey and a semi-structured interview were applied to the population. **Results:** The principal results evidenced a naive position of the participants who ignore that an acatically usage of Technology evokes not only academic risks but, also ethical, labor, and societal ones. **Conclusion:** These circumstances demand teachers adopt a critical position regarding what, when, and how to use technologies in class.

General Study Area: Education. **Specific Study Area:** Educational Technology.

Introduction

From its origins, technology has triggered paradigmatical changes in all activities humans do or need. In education, for instance, the approaches, theories, methods, strategies, and everything inherent to the teaching and learning process have been progressively changing for the benefit of learners and educators (Cabero, 2004; Cepeda, 2021). Today, innovative strategies and opportunities for managing knowledge are placed on the education palestra by incorporating innovative technologies that offer infinite possibilities to be adapted to specific contexts, realities, and situations. Thus, education has evolved into a more personalized, inclusive, and dynamic process in which students assume authentic roles and responsibilities for constructing their learning (Malpartida et al., 2021).

Due to the implementation of technological resources, the framework of teaching foreign languages has progressed from based-on-grammar teaching to a process where communication is the principal target; from a process where the teacher is the main character to a learner-centered one; from a systematic organization of contents to a recurrent and complex dynamic aligned with real-life situations (Gómez et al., 2019). This from Avalos's (2022) perspective, resulted in many reliable interaction opportunities, contextualized practice, collaborative work, and content sharing focusing on language learning and acquisition (Bozada, 2020).

Henderson (2020), identifies some of the benefits derived after the implementation of technology in academic contexts; these are presented as follows:

Fosters engagement. – Since today's learners are digital natives, a based-on-technology class is more interesting and authentic for them. Students, when noticing that learning fits with their needs and wants, put on their best. For instance, when using Augmented and Virtual Reality pupils are immersed in the scientific knowledge triggering cognitive and metacognitive processes that foster meaningful, self, and innovative learning.

Improves knowledge retention. – When using technologies, teachers can include in their classes a wide range of didactic resources, such as videos, recordings, images, graphic organizers, interactive illustrations, and games, among others. All these elements make learning more dynamic and involving. Students learn by doing and experimenting, in consequence, knowledge retention is pointedly enhanced.

Encourages collaboration. – There are multiple platforms, virtual pages, and social networks that gather students and professionals who share common interests, knowledge, experiences, and ideologies from all over the world. These contexts foster the creation of virtual communities where students teach and learn without traditional restrictions of time and place.

Improves academic encounters. – The typical class has been transformed thanks to technology. Today, classrooms include smartboards, audiovisual resources, computers, and internet access. Thanks to these advantages, teachers can propose interactive tasks, artificial experiments, virtual experiences, and synchronic and remote global communicational encounters.

Up to this point, every factor linked to the implementation of technologies in education seems to be favorable. Notwithstanding, various critics like Lustgarten et al. (2020), Rumiche & Solís (2021), and Hötte et al. (2023), have evidenced that the privilege assigned to the usage of technology hides consequences that most students and teachers are not conscious of. Some of the consequences derived after the employment of technologies are:

Naturalization of cheating and mediocrity. – Browsers are designed to facilitate users to find the information they desire. Unfortunately, this condition has prompted non-ethic practices which have become even worse with the advent of Artificial Intelligence. Therefore, students are getting used to asking these platforms to do all their academic tasks, diminishing their capacity to think, create, innovate, solve problems, and make decisions.

Faceless interactions that have triggered insecurity. – Students trust everything and everybody. This scenario facilitates to murders contact them, particularly with children, persuading them to make risky challenges, fake business, and immoral acts that not only represent a hazard for them but also for their relatives and friends.

Individualism and egocentrism. – Electronic games, avatars, and stereotypes have awakened a false perception of reality. Students interact with fribble electronic devices, take care of virtual pets, live in a world created by themselves in isolation, and adopt habits and behaviors based on trends.

Medical consequences. - The excessive use of technological devices has generated diverse affections that go from physical to psychological pathologies. Regarding the former, it can be identified blindness, muscle contractures, dizziness, alterations in the alignment of the vertebrae, inflammation, and pain of the articular facets. When referring to psychological problems, it can be mentioned the compulsiveness to check the cell

phone for messages, excessive use of social networks, sleep disorders or anxiety, need to buy the latest technological innovations.

Analogical risks are also perceived in the field of language teaching and learning. Students appear to be overdependent on translation apps (Jaramillo et al., 2017); when using language learning platforms, users must provide personal information which if not adequately protected, could lead to data breaches or illegal usage of this. Besides, due to socioeconomic disparities, not all students may have equal access to technology or high-speed internet, evoking inequalities in language learning opportunities and potentially widening educational gaps (Cabero, 2007).

In terms of Gil & Prendes (2019), technology-based language learning can be isolating, and some students may find it less engaging than in traditional classroom settings with face-to-face interactions. In addition, full-immersing students into technology may lead them to construct virtual or fake life dimensions which can cause cognitive impairments such as attention, reception and retention deficit, hyperactivity, and the impossibility of solving problems and making decisions. Furthermore, academic regressions in orthography and calligraphy.

An important hazard for language teachers is that technology may result in the disappearance of their profession. Since the arrival of platforms like Duolingo, Hello Talk, and Babble; and social networks such as Facebook, YouTube, Instagram, Telegram, WhatsApp, and TikTok, teachers are no longer seen as the primary source of knowledge (Briva-Iglesias, 2021). Even worse, due to applications like Google Translator, iTranslate, Yandex Translate, Microsoft Translator, Babylon Translator, WayGo, and TripLingo; technological devices such as Vasco Translator V4, Timekettle M2 Language Translator Headphones, Pocketalk Classic, Muama Enence, Timekettle WT2 Edge/W3, Vasco M3, PenPower WorldPen Scan Go, among others; translators and interpreters are at risk of disappearing from the labor market in a range of 76.5% according to Millán (2023).

With the basis therein, this study aims to elucidate to what extent English teachers are cognizant of the threats hidden in the implementation of technologies in their classrooms. Being so may determine the difference between the cliched use of technologies and their critical implementation.

Methodology

Considering the categorization of scientific research paradigms proposed by García & Giacobbe (2013), this study roots itself into the interpretative one. This paradigm assumes that social reality is not singular or objective, but is rather shaped by human experiences, social contexts, and the way they interpret and assign meaning to their world

(Phothongsunan, 2010). Likewise, the study was carried out under the principles of the mixed approach for it includes statistical and narrative information regarding teachers' consciousness about the risks hidden after the acritical use of technologies.

Due to the study phenomenon has not been broadly covered, the study frames within the exploratory research, and the meaning assigned to the participants' contributions, accomplish the characteristics of an interpretative work. For undertaking the data collection process, a survey and a semi-structured interview were applied to the population. The former, through closed questions constructed under the principles of the Likert scale, helped gather numerical data about the study problem. On the other hand, the interview made it possible to elucidate subjective information which contributed to a deeper understanding of teachers' consciousness about the risks of technology.

The population is constituted of 30 English teachers with different professional backgrounds, experience, and labor contexts (*10 Unidad Educativa Guayaquil-Ambato; 18 Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo; 2 Centro de Adolescentes Infractores-Riobamba*). These heterogeneous qualities enrich the meaningfulness of the study and guarantee the reliability of the results. For the analysis and interpretation of the results, the categorization and Descriptive Statistics were principally used. Besides, for analyzing the narratives derived from the interviews, the "Thematic Analysis Model" was used, which privileges "what the interviewees say" but not how they say it (Fernandez-Nuñez, 2015). These contributions are identified by ordinal codes.

E-T_01

E = Interviewed

T = Teacher

01 = Ordinal number

The criteria to evaluate the survey were established considering the Likert scale. The maximum possible score is 150 (considering that the population is 30 teachers). It is understood that as closer to 150 the criteria are, as less cognizant the participants are about the risks derived from the acritical use of technology. The equation used to calculate the score for each question is the following:

$$Po = (f1) + 2(f2) + 3(f3) + 4(f4) + 5(f5) \quad (1)$$

where:

Po = Score obtained

$f1$ = Never

$f2 = Rarely$

$f3 = Occasionally$

$f4 = Frequently$

$f5 = Always$

The formula used for getting the total average in each category is the following:

$$Pf = \frac{\sum Po}{n} \quad (2)$$

Where:

$Pf = Final\ Score$

$Po = Score\ obtained$

$n = Number\ of\ questions\ per\ category$

To fulfill the principles of ethics and guarantee compliance with the principle of “personal autonomy, confidentiality, and justice” informed consent forms were completed, where participants accepted that their contributions be used in the study.

Results

As claimed by De Cremer & Kasparov (2022), technology is a double-edged sword. On the one hand, it has revolutionized communication surpassing traditional limitations in terms of time and space; thanks to technology science, medicine, art, music, and so forth, are no longer than a click. Education is not limited anymore to physical contexts. Human daily tasks have been automated in a way they are easier and more profitable. But at the same time, the acritical usage of these wonders hides different risks which are to be elucidated as follows.

The nature of the results suggests the organization of these under four main categories: Academic, Ethical, Labor, and Societal risks, as exposed in Table 1.

Table 1

Punctuation scope

Punctuation scope	Criteria			
	Academic Risks	Ethic Risks	Labor Risks	Societal Risks
[121-150]	Always	Totally agree	Totally agree	Totally agree

Table 1
Punctuation scope (continuation)

Punctuation scope	Criteria			
	Academic Risks	Ethic Risks	Labor Risks	Societal Risks
[91-120]	Frequently	Agree	Agree	Agree
[61-90]	Occasionally	Neither agree nor disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
[31-60]	Rarely	In disagreement	In disagreement	In disagreement
[0-30]	Never	Totally disagree	Totally disagree	Totally disagree

Note: the maximum possible score is 150 considering that the population is 30 teachers

Academic Risks

This category is constructed with eight questions focused on identifying how conscious English teachers are about the risks derived after the acritical usage of technologies in the teaching of foreign languages.

Table 2
Academic Risks

Questions	Punctuation					Po
	1	2	3	4	5	
Technology assists my students in correcting spelling errors.	0	0	0	8	22	142
Technology assists my students in correcting punctuation errors.	0	0	0	5	25	145
My students prefer to use technological means to write instead of doing it manually.	0	0	0	4	26	146
My students use abbreviations, emojis, and animated gifs to respond to their communicative interactions.	0	0	0	4	26	146
When my students find an unknown term, they use technology to understand it.	0	0	0	4	26	146
Thanks to Artificial intelligence and writing platforms my students make clear and concise writings.	0	0	0	7	23	143
To understand extensive readings, my students opt to use technological tools that generate automatic summaries and analyses.	0	0	0	4	26	146
My students are getting used to using technological resources to solve English tasks.	0	0	0	4	26	146
Final average: 145						

Source: data gathered through the survey applied to the participants

The results in Table 2 evidence a naive position of the surveyed population. This assumption is corroborated by the participants' contributions in the applied interview. Technology for them, "... is the best ally... to overcome grammar and punctuation mistakes" (ET-14, 2023). "Thanks to Grammarly, my students don't have almost any grammatical or punctuation mistakes." (ET-02, 2023). A critical analysis of these contributions leads to the question; are students or technology who do not make mistakes?

Al-Abdullatif et al. (2020) have no doubts, it is technology.

When the participants affirm, that they "... prefer receiving students' homework through technological platforms, such as Word, Canva, or PowerPoint because of students' catastrophic calligraphy" (ET-24, 2023), as well as their position reflected in the survey (table 2), it is possible to assume that teachers prefer avoiding this phenomenon than making something to overcome it, as stated by Mejía et al. (2018).

Considering that due to technology and Artificial Intelligence "Students can easily understand unknown vocabulary and are able to create very creative illustrations and works; [that]... can summarize PDF documents in seconds or create essays with a click" (ET-13, 2023); corroborate the results evidenced in Table 2. In these terms, the unconsciousness of teachers regarding the academic risks derived after the acritical usage of ICTs is broadly demonstrated. From the view of Quiroga et al. (2019) educators, unfortunately, are not cognizant that students can be exposed to "high levels of addiction generating greater distractions, loss of time, social isolation, obtaining incomplete or filtered information..." or becoming extremely dependent on technology which would end on mediocrity and non-ethical practices.

Ethic Risks

The use of technology brings about plentiful ethical risks that need careful consideration. These risks can vary depending on the type of technology, its application, and the context in which it is used. That is why, addressing the ethical risks associated with technology requires ongoing dialogue, interdisciplinary collaboration, and a commitment to prioritizing human well-being and ethical principles in technological development and deployment. For this, teachers must ensure that the technology devices, platforms, or resources, they are using, enhance learning without causing harm.

Table 3

Ethic Risks

Questions	Punctuation					Po
	1	2	3	4	5	
Artificial intelligence helps students generate activities or tasks in record time.	0	0	0	2	28	148

Table 3
Ethic Risks (continuation)

Questions	Punctuation					Po
	1	2	3	4	5	
I have noticed that my students' tasks do not correspond to their academic development.	0	0	0	3	27	147
My students' academic performance is exceptional thanks to technology.	0	0	0	16	14	134
My students' bibliographic sources are reliable.	4	16	10	0	0	66
My students can critically support and argue their tasks.	13	9	8	0	0	55
	Final average: 110					

Source: Data gathered through the survey applied to the participants

With the results in Table 3 and the contributions of the interviewees, it could be elucidated that this category of analysis includes two dichotomic views. One which unconsciously privileges the supposed wonders of technology “It is incredible how my students can do very creative images, videos, games, and even writings in seconds” (ET-21, 2023); and another accepts that the tasks students present as part of their academic responsibilities do not fit their language level “My students’ homework is significantly better when they work with technology at home than when they have to work in class without it” (ET-08, 2023). Besides, teachers accept that “...when [assigning] research activities students cannot support their works and most of the time, they don’t include a bibliography, and when they do, the references are from virtual pages such as Tareas.com, significados.com, or Wikipedia” (ET-17, 2023); online pages identified by Nayak & Narayan (2019), as not reliable and trustworthy.

Laboral Risks

The advent of technology has profoundly transformed the job landscape, introducing both opportunities and challenges. For instance, automation and artificial intelligence have streamlined processes, increased efficiency and productivity, but also displacing workers in roles involving repetitive tasks. This has led to significant job losses in sectors like manufacturing, administration, tourism, and in some sectors of education. Some of these problems are explicated in the following pages.

Table 4
Labor Risks

Questions	Punctuation					Po
	1	2	3	4	5	
Teaching labor without technology is impossible.	0	0	15	8	7	112

Table 4
Labor Risks (continuation)

Questions	Punctuation					Po
	1	2	3	4	5	
Technology makes translation and interpretation, tasks that everybody can do.	0	0	0	14	16	136
Technology allows the performance of teaching activities such as grading, recording attendance, and sending assignments, without the intervention of the teacher.	0	0	0	12	18	138
Foreign language learning platforms and applications are very effective.	0	0	0	8	22	142
	Final average: 132					

Source: Data gathered through the survey applied to the participants

The results exposed in Table 4 reflect, from our perspective, the most dramatic position of teachers regarding the acritical usage of Technologies. Further, their narratives show their ingenuity and professional self-deterioration. Millán (2023), acknowledges that translators and interpreters are at risk of disappearing from the labor market in a range of 76.5%; but denying this detrimental phenomenon teachers consider that “Thanks to technology, translation is an activity that can be done by every single person in the world” (ET-08, 2023).

Another critical factor in this category is the increasing teachers’ dependence on technology and auto-displacement. ET-19, 2023, argues that “...today working without technology is impossible and also unesthetic.” Following the same logic, ET-03, 2023 assures that “Because, we are teaching to digital natives, not using technology in our classes is going against the nature of students.” To what extent is this true? Trahtemberg (2000), identified that the acritical usage of technologies hinders the possibility for students to solve problems, make decisions, create, innovate, make projects, and significantly learn.

Finally, when teachers argue that “Thanks to Artificial Intelligence their work has become easier.” (ET-27, 2023). “Lesson planning, developing didactic material, making tests, scoring tasks, registering the assistance, etcetera, can be done automatically and without effort.” (ET-06, 2023). They are accepting that technology can do their professional responsibilities and at the same time are accepting their professions are starting to be unnecessary.

Societal Risks

The rapid advancement of technology poses significant societal risks that need careful consideration and management. One major concern is the exacerbation of inequality, as access to advanced technologies and the skills to use them are unevenly distributed,

potentially widening the gap between different socioeconomic groups. Additionally, the pervasive nature of digital surveillance and data collection threatens individual privacy and can lead to misuse of personal information. The proliferation of misinformation and deepfake technologies undermines trust in information and can destabilize social and political systems. To what extent teachers are cognizant of this phenomenon is evidenced as follows.

Table 5

Societal Risks

Questions	Punctuation					Po
	1	2	3	4	5	
With technology, everybody can learn foreign languages.	0	0	0	3	27	147
Thanks to technology, all social problems can be solved.	0	0	0	8	22	142
Communicating through emojis, GIFs, and stickers is funnier than face-to-face interaction.	0	0	0	2	28	148
Thanks to technology, foreign languages and cultures can be easily adopted.	0	0	0	4	26	146
My students' technological devices reflect their socioeconomic level.	0	0	8	18	4	116
	Final Average: 139,8					

Source: Data gathered through the survey applied to the participants

Monsalve-Maldonado & Merchán-De Monsalve (2020), criticize the way how human beings have allowed themselves to be influenced by technology that, used indiscriminately, destroys even the most valuable thing which is the family. If the family is destroyed, society is too. In the words of Terán (2019), today our society is experiencing “the cybernetic syndrome” characterized by embracing plenty of cyber-addict communities who are not aware of what is happening in their surroundings, as exposed in Table 5.

The participants consider that interacting through social networks using emojis and stickers is nicer than personal communication. “Most of the time we communicate with our colleagues and students through social networks. It is interesting because, through these fanny pictograms, we can express our opinions without feeling embarrassed” (ET-16, 2023).

This critical analysis of the use of technology and its negative impact on society leads us to uncover two harmful phenomena. The free path to acculturation and the dramatic socioeconomic gaps caused due to fashion stereotypes, as explained by Pulido et al. (2021). The participants affirmed that “...through the internet, students can be exposed to authentic input and in this way, they can easily acquire American culture.” (ET-08, 2023). “The details of any cultural tradition can be appreciated thanks to videos on YouTube, Facebook, and TikTok. ...for instance, my little son knows a lot about famous

people” (ET-14, 2023). At this point, the reflection would be, how much her son knows about Ecuadorian culture.

Discussion

It cannot be denied that the arrival of technology particularly the ICTs has constituted paradigmatic improvements in the quality of human life. But technology does not have to be considered the panacea for every human being’s activity. Along these pages, it has been evidenced that the acatically usage of Technology evokes negative consequences. These circumstances demand teachers adopt a critical position regarding what, when, and how to use technologies in class.

Teachers and students must understand that the non-sense-extensive usage of tech devices creates dependence, addiction, and social interaction disruptions. Academic capabilities such as calligraphy and orthography are significantly affected. Laboral opportunities are being destroyed, among other phenomena exposed in this paper. But believe it or not, this is not the worst part. Recalling Paulo Freire’s ideas, it is that there are plenty of people who do not know this is happening; and even worse, there are people who do not know, that they do not know.” Understanding, signifying, and re-signifying the usage of technology for the benefit of our students and ourselves is a battle that must be fought. For us, it starts taking distance from the systematic principles imposed by hegemonies; this work follows this target.

Conclusions

The study offers the following conclusions:

- Teachers are aware of the multiple advantages that technology offers when using it for academic purposes, but most of them ignore the risks they represent if used uncritically.
- It is noticed a privilege and an overvalued position of teachers regarding technology. This phenomenon is even more drastic when referring to the usage of Artificial Intelligence.
- Teachers conceive as positive students’ capacity to develop their tasks by using technology; today, by using Artificial intelligence. Despite this, they accept that these practices (copying and pasting, taking information without any analysis, accepting everything provided on the web) lack ethical and moral principles.
- Technologies are conceived as a fashion or trend to follow. Nowadays, everything is moved through Facebook, TikTok, or Instagram. This scenario may affect people’s capabilities to read, write, research, and think. Even worse, it may represent the starting point of a dehumanization process.

Conflict of interests

As authors, we assert that there is no conflict of interest regarding the article presented.

Bibliographic References

- Al-Abdullatif, A. M., Alsubaie, M. A., & Aldoughan, E. A. (2020). Exploring the effects of excessive texting through mobile applications on students' technostress and academic writing skills in the Arabic language. *IEEE Access*, 8, 166940-166950. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9195811>
- Avalos, G. (2022). Usage of live worksheets as academic tool for English teaching to students at octavo año de educación general básica "A" of the Unidad Educativa "Fe y Alegría" located in the city of Riobamba, Chimborazo Province, during the School Year 2021-2022 (bachelor's thesis, Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo UNIVERSIDAD, Riobamba, Ecuador PAIS). <http://dspace.unach.edu.ec/bitstream/51000/10085/1/UNACH-EC-FCEHT-IDM-00015-2022.pdf>
- Bozada, C. J. M. (2020). Tecnología en la educación ecuatoriana logros, problemas y debilidades. *Dominio de las Ciencias*, 6(3), 496-516. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23857/dc.v6i3.1295>
- Briva-Iglesias, V. (2021). Traducción humana vs. traducción automática: análisis contrastivo e implicaciones para la aplicación de la traducción automática en traducción jurídica. *Mutatis Mutandis, Revista Latinoamericana de Traducción*, 14(2), 571-600. <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.mut.v14n2a14>
- Cabero Almenara, J. (2004). La investigación en tecnologías de la educación. *Revista de Pedagogía Bordón*, 56(3.4), 617-634. <https://bit.ly/3DpCLa7>
- Cabero, J. (2007). Las necesidades de las TIC en el ámbito educativo: oportunidades, riesgos y necesidades. *Tecnología y Comunicación Educativas*, 21(45), 4-19. <http://investigacion.ilce.edu.mx/tyce/45/articulo1.pdf>
- Cepeda, R. (2021). Analysis about the use of educational videos to improve the pronunciation on the students from eighth grade in "Fernando Daquilema" high school during the academic period September 2020-july 2021 (bachelor's thesis, Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo UNIVERSIDAD, Riobamba, Ecuador PAIS). LINK <http://dspace.unach.edu.ec/bitstream/51000/8174/1/UNACH-EC-FCEHT-IDM-2021-00003.pdf>

- De Cremer, D., & Kasparov, G. (2022). The ethics of technology innovation: a double-edged sword? *AI and Ethics*, 2(3), 533-537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00103-x>
- García, j. & Giacobbe, M. (2013). Nuevos desafíos en investigación. Teorías, métodos, técnicas e instrumentos. Rosario-Santa Fe-Argentina. Homo Sapiens Ediciones. 4ta reimpression. ISBN 950-808-457-X
- Gil Oliver, J. M., & Prendes Espinosa, M. P. (2019). Uso de aplicaciones y dispositivos móviles con menores en riesgo de exclusión: evaluación de una experiencia educativa no formal. *Enseñanza & Teaching: Revista Interuniversitaria de Didáctica*, 37(1), 23–39. <https://doi.org/10.14201/et20193712339>
- Gómez, C., Ramírez, J., Martínez, O., & Chuc, I. (2019). El uso de las TIC en la Enseñanza del inglés en las primarias públicas. *Revista de Estudios y Experiencias en Educación*, 18(36), 75-94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21703/rexe.20191836gomez4>
- Henderson, D. (2020). Benefits of ICT in Education. *IDOSR Journal of Arts and Management*, 5(1), 51-57. <https://www.idosr.org/idosr-journal-of-arts-and-management-idosr-jam-8/>
- Hötte, K., Somers, M., & Theodorakopoulos, A. (2023). Technology and jobs: A systematic literature review. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 194, 122750. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122750>
- Jaramillo Ospina, K. L., Navia Mayorga, F. A., & Camacho Villota, W. A. (2017). Niños y adolescentes. su dependencia de la tecnología móvil. *Revista Pertinencia Académica*, (2), 57–68. <https://revistas.utb.edu.ec/index.php/rpa/article/view/2400>
- Lustgarten, S., Garrison, Y., Sinnard, M., & Flynn, A. (2020). Digital privacy in mental healthcare: current issues and recommendations for technology use. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 36, 25-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.03.012>
- Malpartida Gutiérrez, J. N., Olmos Saldívar, D., Ogozi Auqui, J. A., & Cruz Huapaya, K. K (2021). Mejora del proceso educativo a través de plataformas virtuales. *Revista Venezolana de Gerencia: RVG*, 26(5), 248-260. <https://doi.org/10.52080/rvgluz.26.e5.17>
- Mejía, D. R., Tacle, C. J., Benavides, L. E., & Vásconez, S. V. (2018). Los trastornos del aprendizaje y el modelo pedagógico invertido. *RECIMUNDO: Revista Científica de la Investigación y el Conocimiento*, 2(2), 194-208. [https://doi.org/10.26820/recimundo/2.\(2\).2018.194-208](https://doi.org/10.26820/recimundo/2.(2).2018.194-208)

- Millán, V. (22/03/2023). Los empleos que se verán más afectados (y los que menos) por ChatGPT, según OpenAI. www.economista.es.
<https://www.economista.es/empleo/noticias/12198239/03/23/Traductores-matematicos-y-creativos-son-los-empleos-mas-vulnerables-ante-ChatGPT-segun-la-propia-OpenAI.html>
- Monsalve-Maldonado, J. I., & Merchán-De Monsalve, A. E. (2020). El uso de las Tecnologías de la Información y la Comunicación (TIC). *Sostenibilidad, Tecnología y Humanismo*, 11(2), 74-86. <https://doi.org/10.25213/2216-1872.97>
- Nayak, Mudavath. & Narayan, K. (2019). Strengths and weaknesses of online surveys. *Technology*, 24(5), 31-38. Doi:10.9790/0837-2405053138
- Phothongsunan, S. (2010). Interpretive paradigm in educational research. *Galaxy: The IELE Journal*, 2(1), 1-4. <https://repository.au.edu/handle/6623004553/601>.
- Pulido, M. B., Soto, Á. D., Lozano, F. M., & Peña, W. Q. (2021). Redes sociales y relaciones digitales, una comunicación que supera el cara a cara. *Revista Internacional de Pedagogía e Innovación Educativa*, 1(1), 123-148.
<https://doi.org/10.51660/ripie.v1i1.29>
- Quiroga, L. P., Jaramillo, S., & Vanegas, O. L. (2019). Ventajas y desventajas de la tic en la educación “Desde la primera infancia hasta la educación superior”. *Revista Educación y Pensamiento*, 26(26), 77-85.
<http://www.educacionypensamiento.colegiohispano.edu.co/index.php/revistaeyp/article/view/103>
- Rumiche, M., & Solís, B. (2021). Los efectos positivos y negativos en el uso de las tecnologías de la información y comunicación en educación. *Hamutay*, 8(1), 23–32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21503/hamu.v8i1.2233>
- Terán, A. (2019). *Ciberadicciones. Adicción a las nuevas tecnologías (NTIC)* [Congreso de Actualización Pediatría 2019. Madrid: Lúa Ediciones 3.0, pp. 131-141]. https://www.aepap.org/sites/default/files/pags._131-142_ciberadicciones.pdf
- Trahtemberg Siederer, L. (2000). El impacto previsible de las nuevas tecnologías en la enseñanza y la organización escolar. *Revista Iberoamericana de Educación*, 24, 37-62. <https://doi.org/10.35362/rie240996>

El artículo que se publica es de exclusiva responsabilidad de los autores y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de la **Revista Ciencia Digital**.



El artículo queda en propiedad de la revista y, por tanto, su publicación parcial y/o total en otro medio tiene que ser autorizado por el director de la **Revista Ciencia Digital**.



Indexaciones

